			PART I ( Sens	ate Approval)	)						
Offering School	Antalya Bilim University-Faculty of Fine Arts and Architecture										
Offering Department	Interior Architecture and Environmental Design										
Program(s) Offered to	Interior Architecture and Environmental Design  Compulsory										
Course Code	IAED 3002 INTERIOR DESIGN STUDIO VI										
Course Name  Language of Instruction											
Type of Course	Theory & Practical										
Level of Course	Theory & Practical Undergraduate										
Hours per Week	Lecture:4	cture:4 Laboratory: Recitation: Practical: 4 Studio: Other:									
ECTS Credit											
Grading Mode Pre-requisites	Letter Grade IAED 3001 Interior Design Studio V										
Co-requisites	None	Design Studio V									
1											
Registration Restriction	The project assigned	Students who have not successfully completed the IAED 3001 course cannot take this course.  The project assigned within the context of this course is designed to guide students in design research to evaluate the data to determine a solution to given design tasks and to prepare alternative solutions to the design problem. In this context the case will be a designing and office under three main themes. The									
Educational Objective		, lighting, colors, m	naterials and construction								
Course Description	of offices. In line wi	th this objective, th	nical, and structural propo e course addresses structurental factors within the v	ural solutions by	considering the						
	LO1 Ability to provide a conceptual approach that identifies the necessary subjects to create an appropriate working atmosphere and the ability to develop solutions that ensure an optimal workflow.										
	LO2	Ability to design spaces in accordance with universal design principles, ensuring accessibility and inclusivity for all users.									
Learning Outcomes	LO3	Ability of considering technical and mechanical solutions, such as HVAC, lighting, acoustic systems, and fire safety measures, including fire escapes and evacuation routes, in the design process.									
	LO4	Ability to express the design effectively through verbal, written, drawing, and presentation formats.									
	LO5	Ability to utilize u	p-to-date technologies in	the design proces	ss, enhancing b	oth functional	lity and innova	tion.			
			PART II ( Faculty	Board Appro	oval)						
			Program Outcomes	**	LO1	LO2	LO3	LO4	LO5		
	PO1	Ability to communi	icate effectively and write an ish.	nd present a report							
	PO2	Ability to work indi disciplinary teams.	ividually, and in intra-discip	linary and multi-							
Basic Outcomes (University-wide)	PO3	access information,	need for life-long learning a follow developments in sci tinually reinvent oneself.								
	PO4		ect management, risk manag ment, entrepreneurship, and								
	PO5	Awareness of sector	ors and ability to prepare a b	usiness plan.							
	PO6	Understanding of p	professional and ethical resp cal behavior.	onsibility and							
Faculty Specific Outcomes	PO7	Gain the ability of	Conceptualizing, applying valuating information eff								
	PO8	Produce innovative (Creativeness).	e ideas and products with	creativity							
	PO9		leadership, entrepreneurs Leadership and Entrepren								
	PO10		ical values and principles nese in professional and s		l						
	PO11		e and reach the information fectively and share it with acy).		;						
	PO12	technologies while and experience wi	effectively and communic be learning, and can share the others using technolog on and Communication Te	their knowledge y and visual							

	PO13	Global Context: To social, cultural, ecc areas of work.						
	PO14	Collaboration: To l disciplines that the						
	PO15		and Professionalism: To understand the es, and responsibilities that define the sion to society.					
	PO16	cultural dimensions	Design: To integrate physical, social, and s of the built environment, considering and behavior in the design process					
	PO17	Design Process: To all aspects of the de						
	PO18	Communication: T ideas and thoughts visual means, inclu and implementation						
	PO19	History: To have k and make design do						
Discipline Specific Outcomes (program)	PO20	Design Elements a adopting design ele approaches.						
	PO21	Light and Color: To light and color in to comfort effectively						
	PO22	Products and Mate assembly, and main materials, and acce selections and appl safety, and cost cri						
	PO23	principles related to comfort, including	tems and Human Comfort: To apply o environmental impact and human acoustics, thermal comfort, indoor air systems, and waste management.					
	PO24	Construction/Build relationship betwee to basic building co						
	PO25	Regulations and Gr laws, regulations, a practice, including materials, accessib rights, and incorpo						
		P	ART III (Department Board App					
	Subject	Week	Subject Explanation Course introduction	LO1	LO2	LO3	LO4	LO5
	S1	1	- Workshop: warm-up project					
			(Understanding the animal scale)					
	S2	2	Seminar: Artificial Intelligence in Interior Design -Brand Identity &User Profile Student Presentations					
			-Field Trip to Konyaaltı animal Hospital					
	S3	2	Critiques on Concept Development					
	S3	3	- Critiques on Concept Development					
	S4	4	Critiques on 1.50 plan proposal					
			-Critiques on 1.50 plan					

	S5	5	Critiques on Plan (included tactile surfaces) -Critiques on User Profile Pathways -Critique on 3D (included tactile surfaces) -Quiz 1: Question will be announced in the course					
Course Subjects, Contribution of Course Subjects to Learning Outcomes, and Methods for Assessing Learning of Course Subjects	S6	6	Critiques on Vets' Offices 1/20 Drawings & 3D - Critiques on reception area 1/20 Drawings & 3D					
	S7	7	Critiques on 1/50 Cross Sections & 3D - General Critiques					
	S8	8	Midterm Jury					
	S9	9	Critiques on revised Version of Midterm Submissions -Critiques on Facade					
	S10	10	- Quiz 2: Question will be announced in the course - Critiques on 1/50 Ceiling Plan &3D					
	S11	11	Critiques on Examine Room 1/20 &3D - Critiques on 1/20 Universal WC & 3D					
	S12	12	Critiques on landscape design & drawings -Critiques on Cost analysis					
	S13	13	- Pre-Final - Pre-Final					
	S14	14	- Poster Critique -Poster Critique					
	S15	15	Q&A					
		Type Weight Implementation Ru						
	No	Туре		Weight	Implement	tation Rule	Make-	Up Rule
	No A1	Type  Development (Digita	I Portfolio)	Weight	Implement Studies in the p		Make-	Up Rule
Assessment Methods,			I Portfolio)	_	-		Make-	Up Rule
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make-	A1	Development (Digita	l Portfolio)	10%	-		Make-	Up Rule
Weight in Course Grade,	A1 A2	Development (Digita Sketch Exams	l Portfolio)	10%	Studies in the p		Make-	Up Rule
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make-	A1 A2 A3	Development (Digita Sketch Exams Midterm	I Portfolio)	10%	Studies in the p		Make-	Up Rule
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make-	A1 A2 A3 A4	Development (Digital Sketch Exams Midterm Pre-final	I Portfolio)	10% 10% 20%	Studies in the p		Make-	Up Rule
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make-	A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 TOTAL Students will demonst	Development (Digital Sketch Exams Midterm Pre-final Final	s through weekly homework, in-class assignm	10% 10% 20% 10% 50%	Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation	roject process		100%
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make- Up Rules  Evidence of Achievement	A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 TOTAL Students will demonst	Development (Digital Sketch Exams Midterm Pre-final Final		10% 10% 20% 10% 50%	Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation	roject process		100%
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make- Up Rules  Evidence of Achievement	A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 TOTAL Students will demonst	Development (Digital Sketch Exams Midterm Pre-final Final	s through weekly homework, in-class assignm nt methods, the total scores will be averaged a	10% 10% 20% 10% 50%	Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation	roject process		100%
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make- Up Rules  Evidence of Achievement of Learning Outcomes  Method for Determining	A1  A2  A3  A4  A5  TOTAL  Students will demonst Upon successful comperiteria.  ASSESSMENT METHOD Development	Development (Digital Sketch Exams  Midterm  Pre-final  Final  Final  EFFECT ON GRADING  10%	s through weekly homework, in-class assignm at methods, the total scores will be averaged a MARK	10%  10%  20%  10%  50%  ents, Midterm ex  and converted inte	Studies in the pure state of t	tam.  MARK  C+	owing percentag  GRADE  60-64	100% es and grading VALUE 2,4
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make- Up Rules  Evidence of Achievement of Learning Outcomes	A1  A2  A3  A4  A5  TOTAL  Students will demonst  Upon successful comperiteria.  ASSESSMENT METHOD  Development  Sketch Exams	Development (Digital Sketch Exams  Midterm  Pre-final  Final  Final  EFFECT ON GRADING  10%	s through weekly homework, in-class assignm at methods, the total scores will be averaged a  MARK  A+	10%  10%  20%  10%  50%  ents, Midterm ex  GRADE  95-100	Jury evaluation Jury evaluation Jury evaluation Jury evaluation  Aums and Final ex  o a final letter gra  VALUE	tam.  MARK  C+	owing percentag  GRADE  60-64  55-59	100% es and grading VALUE 2,4 2,2
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make- Up Rules  Evidence of Achievement of Learning Outcomes  Method for Determining	A1  A2  A3  A4  A5  TOTAL  Students will demonst  Upon successful comperiteria.  ASSESSMENT METHOD  Development  Sketch Exams Midterm	Development (Digital Sketch Exams  Midterm  Pre-final  Final  Final  EFFECT ON GRADING  10%  10%  20%	s through weekly homework, in-class assignm at methods, the total scores will be averaged a  MARK  A+  A-	10%  10%  20%  10%  50%  ents, Midterm ex  GRADE  - 95-100  85-94	Jury evaluation Jury evaluation Jury evaluation Jury evaluation Jury evaluation  Aums and Final ex  O a final letter gra  VALUE  4.00 3,7	tam.  MARK  C+  C  C-	owing percentag  GRADE  60-64  55-59  50-54	100% es and grading VALUE 2,4 2,2 1,7
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make- Up Rules  Evidence of Achievement of Learning Outcomes  Method for Determining	A1  A2  A3  A4  A5  TOTAL  Students will demonst  Upon successful comperiteria.  ASSESSMENT METHOD  Development  Sketch Exams Midterm  Pre-Final	Development (Digital Sketch Exams  Midterm  Pre-final  Final  Final  EFFECT ON GRADING  10%  10%  20%  10%	s through weekly homework, in-class assignm  It methods, the total scores will be averaged a  MARK  A+  A-  B+	10%  10%  20%  10%  50%  solvents, Midterm ex  GRADE  - 95-100  85-94  80-84	Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Amail etter gra  VALUE  4.00  3.7  3.3	mark.  C+ C C- D+	owing percentag  GRADE  60-64  55-59  50-54  45-49	100% es and grading VALUE 2,4 2,2 1,7 1,3
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make- Up Rules  Evidence of Achievement of Learning Outcomes  Method for Determining	A1  A2  A3  A4  A5  TOTAL  Students will demonst  Upon successful comperiteria.  ASSESSMENT METHOD  Development  Sketch Exams Midterm	Development (Digital Sketch Exams  Midterm  Pre-final  Final  Final  EFFECT ON GRADING  10%  10%  20%	s through weekly homework, in-class assignm  It methods, the total scores will be averaged a  MARK  A+  A-  B+  B	10%  10%  20%  10%  50%  solvents, Midterm ex  GRADE  - 95-100  85-94  80-84  75-79	Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Aunument of the properties of the p	tam.  MARK  C+  C  C-	owing percentag  GRADE  60-64  55-59  50-54  45-49  40-44	100%  VALUE  2,4  2,2  1,7  1,3  1
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make- Up Rules  Evidence of Achievement of Learning Outcomes  Method for Determining	A1  A2  A3  A4  A5  TOTAL  Students will demonst  Upon successful comperiteria.  ASSESSMENT METHOD  Development  Sketch Exams Midterm  Pre-Final	Development (Digital Sketch Exams  Midterm  Pre-final  Final  Final  EFFECT ON GRADING  10%  10%  20%  10%	s through weekly homework, in-class assignm  It methods, the total scores will be averaged a  MARK  A+  A-  B+  B	10%  10%  20%  10%  50%  solvents, Midterm ex  GRADE  - 95-100  85-94  80-84	Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Aunument of the properties of the p	mam.  MARK  C+  C  C-  D+  D	owing percentag  GRADE  60-64  55-59  50-54  45-49	100% es and grading VALUE 2,4 2,2 1,7 1,3
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make- Up Rules  Evidence of Achievement of Learning Outcomes  Method for Determining	A1  A2  A3  A4  A5  TOTAL  Students will demonst  Upon successful comperiteria.  ASSESSMENT  METHOD  Development  Sketch Exams  Midterm  Pre-Final  Final	Development (Digital Sketch Exams  Midterm  Pre-final  Final  Final  EFFECT ON GRADING  10%  20%  10%  50%  Method	s through weekly homework, in-class assignm at methods, the total scores will be averaged a  MARK  A+  A-  B+  B-  B-	10%  10%  20%  10%  50%  solvents, Midterm ex  GRADE  - 95-100  85-94  80-84  75-79	Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Aunument of the properties of the p	man.  MARK  C+  C  C-  D+  D  F	owing percentag  GRADE  60-64  55-59  50-54  45-49  40-44	100% es and grading VALUE 2,4 2,2 1,7 1,3 1 0.00
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make- Up Rules  Evidence of Achievement of Learning Outcomes  Method for Determining	A1  A2  A3  A4  A5  TOTAL  Students will demonst  Upon successful comperiteria.  ASSESSMENT METHOD  Development  Sketch Exams Midterm  Pre-Final  Final	Development (Digital Sketch Exams  Midterm  Pre-final  Final  Final  EFFECT ON GRADING  10%  20%  10%  50%  Method	s through weekly homework, in-class assignm at methods, the total scores will be averaged a  MARK  A+  A-  B+  B-  B-  B-  B-  B-  B-  B-  B-  B	10%  10%  20%  10%  50%  solvents, Midterm ex  GRADE  - 95-100  85-94  80-84  75-79	Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Aunument of the properties of the p	man.  MARK  C+  C  C-  D+  D  F	owing percentag  GRADE  60-64  55-59  50-54  45-49  40-44	100% es and grading VALUE 2,4 2,2 1,7 1,3 1 0.00
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make- Up Rules  Evidence of Achievement of Learning Outcomes  Method for Determining	A1  A2  A3  A4  A5  TOTAL  Students will demonst  Upon successful comporiteria.  ASSESSMENT METHOD  Development  Sketch Exams Midterm  Pre-Final  Final  No  Time expected to be	Development (Digital Sketch Exams  Midterm  Pre-final  Final  Final  Final  EFFECT ON GRADING  10%  20%  10%  50%  Method  allocated by instructure allocated by stude  allocated by stude  allocated by stude	s through weekly homework, in-class assignm at methods, the total scores will be averaged a  MARK  A+  A  B+  B  B-  B-  B-  B-  B-  B-  B-  B-	10%  10%  20%  10%  50%  solvents, Midterm ex  GRADE  - 95-100  85-94  80-84  75-79	Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Aunument of the properties of the p	man.  MARK  C+  C  C-  D+  D  F	owing percentag  GRADE  60-64  55-59  50-54  45-49  40-44	100% es and grading VALUE 2,4 2,2 1,7 1,3 1 0.00 Hours
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make-Up Rules  Evidence of Achievement of Learning Outcomes  Method for Determining Letter Grade	A1  A2  A3  A4  A5  TOTAL  Students will demonst  Upon successful comperitoria.  ASSESSMENT  METHOD  Development  Sketch Exams  Midterm  Pre-Final  Final  No  Time expected to be  1  Time expected to be	Development (Digital Sketch Exams  Midterm  Pre-final  Final  Final  Final  EFFECT ON GRADING  10%  20%  10%  50%  Method  allocated by instructions allocated by stude  Homework	s through weekly homework, in-class assignment methods, the total scores will be averaged a MARK  A+  A-  B+  B-  B-  B-  B-  B-  A-  B-  B-  B-  B-	10%  10%  20%  10%  50%  solvents, Midterm ex  GRADE  - 95-100  85-94  80-84  75-79	Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Aunument of the properties of the p	man.  MARK  C+  C  C-  D+  D  F	owing percentag  GRADE  60-64  55-59  50-54  45-49  40-44	100%  VALUE  2,4  2,2  1,7  1,3  1  0.00  Hours
Weight in Course Grade, Implementation and Make- Up Rules  Evidence of Achievement of Learning Outcomes  Method for Determining	A1  A2  A3  A4  A5  TOTAL  Students will demonst  Upon successful comp criteria.  ASSESSMENT METHOD  Development  Sketch Exams  Midterm Pre-Final Final  No  Time expected to be	Development (Digital Sketch Exams  Midterm  Pre-final  Final  Final  Final  EFFECT ON GRADING  10%  20%  10%  50%  Method  allocated by instructure allocated by stude  allocated by stude  allocated by stude	s through weekly homework, in-class assignment methods, the total scores will be averaged a MARK  A+  A-  B+  B-  B-  B-  B-  B-  A-  B-  B-  B-  B-	10%  10%  20%  10%  50%  solvents, Midterm ex  GRADE  - 95-100  85-94  80-84  75-79	Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Jury evaluation  Aunument of the properties of the p	man.  MARK  C+  C  C-  D+  D  F	owing percentag  GRADE  60-64  55-59  50-54  45-49  40-44	100% es and grading VALUE 2,4 2,2 1,7 1,3 1 0.00 Hours

1	5	Pre-final Prepara	tions		1x20=20 hrs.		
	6	Pre-final			1x8=8 hrs.		
	7 Final Project Pre		paration		1x28=28 hrs.		
	8	Final			1x8=8 hrs.		
	TOTAL				250 hrs.		
			IV. PART				
	Name			Asst. Prof. Dr. Yaren ŞEKERCİ			
Instructor	E-mail		yaren.sekerci@antalya.edu.tr				
	Phone Number						
	Office Number						
	Office Hours						
	Mandatory						
Course Materials	Recommended		1. Ching, F. D. K. Interior Design Illustrated. 2. Miller, S.F. 1995. Design Process: A Primer for Architectural and Interior Design, Wiley London. 3. Rayfield, J. K. (1997). The Office Interior Design Guide: An Introduction for Facility and Design Professionals 1st Edition 4. Van Meel, J. (2010). Planning Office Spaces: A Practical Guide for Managers and Designers 5. Gillen, N. (2019). Future Office: Next Generation Workspace Design. 1st edition. 6. Martins, A., Grieco, L., Tan, J., Teague, L., Trinidad, A. (2019). The Other Office 3: Creative Workspace Design 7. Kinugasa-Tsui, K. (2018). Co-working space design 8. Francis D. K. Ching, 2005. "Interior Design Illustrated, John Wiley&Sons. 9. Interior Design by Jenny Gibbs 10. Philosophy of Interior Design by Abercrombie, S. 11. Office Design Sourcebook by Rockport Publishers. 12. Space to Work: New Office Design by Jeremy Myerson by Philip Ross. 13. Innovations in Office Design: The Critical Influence Approach to Effective Work Environments by Diane Stegmeier.				
Other	Scholastic Honesty		Violations of scholastic honesty include, but are not limited to cheating, plagiarizing, fabricating information or citations, facilitating acts of dishonesty by others, having unauthorized possession of examinations, submitting work of another person or work previously used without informing the instructor, or tampering with the academic work of other students. Any for of scholastic dishonesty is a serious academic violation and will result in a disciplinary action.				
	Students with Disabilities		Reasonable accommodations will be made for students with verifiable disabilities.				
	Safety Issues		Security is provided by the Rectorate's occupational health and safety specialist.				
	Flexibility			urse that prevents the instructor from fulfilling each and subject to change. Students will be notified prior to any			

Form No:ÜY-FR-0019 Yayın Tarihi:03.05.2018 Değ.No:0 Değ. Tarihi:-